NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde Immunology and Neuroimmunology				
QF_59	Uncertainty of Measurement Summary Table for Complement Function Asays Version: 2			
Author: Lauren Hennessy		Authoriser: Sylvia Arthur / Moira Thomas	Date of Issue	: 17/06/22

Uncertainty of Measurement: Summary table for complement function assays

Uncertainty of measurement (UoM) is calculated using internal quality control (IQC)

The raw data and calculations can be found at the following location: Y:\COMMON\1IMM&NI\Quality\Uncertainty of Measurement

Analyte	C1 inhibitor function
	C1EFN IQC
Mean (x)	46.3
Number of measurements (n)	11
Estimated Standard Deviation (s)	7.83
Coefficient of Variance (%CV)	16.92%
Coverage factor (k) to define a confidence level of 95%	2
Relative standard expanded uncertainty (U)	± 33.8%
Uncertainty of measurement example (using IQC)	46.3 ± 15.65% (30.6 – 61.9%)

NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde Immunology and Neuroimmunology				
QF_59	Uncertainty of Measurement Summary Table for Complement Function Asays Version: 2			
Author: Lauren Hennessy		Authoriser: Sylvia Arthur / Moira Thomas	Date of Issue: 17/06/22	

Analyte	Classical complement function	
	CMPFNA04 IQC	
Mean (x)	640.1	
Number of measurements (n)	21	
Estimated Standard Deviation (s)	71.83	
Coefficient of Variance (%CV)	11.22%	
Coverage factor (k) to define a confidence level of 95%	2	
Relative standard expanded uncertainty (U)	± 22.4%	
Uncertainty of measurement example (using IQC)	640.1 ± 143.65 CH100U/mL (496.5 – 783.8 CH100U/mL)	

NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde Immunology and Neuroimmunology				
QF_59	Uncertainty of Measurement Summary Table for Complement Function Asays Version: 2			
Author: Lauren Hennessy		Authoriser: Sylvia Arthur / Moira Thomas	Date of Issue	e: 17/06/22

Analyte	Alternative complement function
	CMPFNC04 IQC
Mean (x)	96.5
Number of measurements (n)	22
Estimated Standard Deviation (s)	8.82
Coefficient of Variance (%CV)	9.14%
Coverage factor (k) to define a confidence level of 95%	2
Relative standard expanded uncertainty (U)	± 18.3%
Uncertainty of measurement example (using IQC)	96.5 ± 17.64% (78.9- 114.2%)

For the details of the calculation and UoM protocol please refer to document QP_5: Uncertainty of Measurement Protocol.