| SOP number | 52.003 | Version | 6.0 |
|------------|--------------------------|---------|-----|
| Title | R&I Review of Amendments | | |

| Prepared by | Ruth Pink |
|-------------|----------------|
| Approved by | Melissa Robert |
| Released by | Jesse Dawson |

| SOP category | NHS GG&C Hosted R&I | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Staff category | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Staff Categor | Ύ | R | Α | C | ı | | |
| Research & I | search & Innovation Systems & Operations Manager | | | | | | |
| Research Co- | earch Co-ordinator | | | | | | |
| Research Fac | Х | | | | | | |
| Senior Resea | Х | | | | | | |
| Research Adı | Х | | | | | | |

1. Scope

This SOP applies to R&I office staff during the receipt, processing, review and approval of amendments to all studies taking place in NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde (NHSGGC). The process for Sponsor review of amendments is described in SOP 51.021.

2. Purpose

This SOP describes the procedures which the R&I office undertakes when receiving, processing, reviewing and approving amendments to provide continued management approval of studies that NHSGGC hosts.

3. Procedures

3.1. Background

ICH E6 guidelines describe a protocol amendment as "a written description of a change(s) to or formal clarification of a protocol". The same description can also be applied to other changes/clarification to other study documents reviewed by R&I, the Research Ethics Committee (REC) and regulatory authorities. Changes to documents submitted during the assessment of a request for initial authorisation to the regulatory authority or during the assessment of a request for initial favourable opinion from the REC will not be considered amendments and will be reviewed during R&I approval process.

Amendments can be classified as substantial or non-substantial. Detailed guidance on what constitutes a substantial and non-substantial amendment can be found in Eudralex volume 10, chapter 1 2010/C82/01 (CT-1). Amendments for studies hosted by NHSGGC, require to be submitted to the R&I office for review in order to provide continuing management approval. An amendment cannot be implemented without receipt of local R&I continuing management approval (See 3.2 UK Process for study Amendments: Category C for any exception to this). This is part of the local site R&I management approval process.

SOP 52.003 version 6.0 Page 1 of 6

3.2. UK Process for Study Amendments

The revised UK study amendment handling process, introduced across the UK in November 2014, reduces the number of study amendments that NHS organisations need to review for R&I continuing management approval. The scope of the revised UK process covers commercially and non-commercially sponsored multi-centre and single-site studies.

The process applies to both substantial and non-substantial amendments as defined by the Sponsor and runs in parallel to regulatory review and harmonises practice across all four UK nations. It follows the principle of a 35 day default implementation of amendments for NHS organisations and introduces the categorisation of amendments into Category A, B, or C. However, the 35 day timeline does not apply if the Sponsor/submitting organization is notified of a query relating to the amendment, or a request to allow for additional time to review the amendment.

Category A: An amendment that impacts or affects ALL participating NHS organisations, therefore needs to be considered and may need change control actions.

Category B: An amendment that impact or affects SPECIFIC participating NHS organizations. Only at these organizations does it need to be considered and take any change control actions required. **Category C:** An amendment that has no impact on NHS organizations hence does not require management or oversight. However the amendment should still be provided for information.

The Sponsor, or authorised delegate, will complete the Amendment Tool in IRAS which will automatically recommend the category based on the responses to the questions. This is submitted, along with Sponsor approved amended documents, through IRAS Identity Gateway and then shared with REC and/or for study-wide review as applicable. In Scotland the amendment is shared with NRSPCC (National Research Scotland Permissions Coordinating Centre), who then informs R&I for notification and review purposes.

For studies where NHSGGC is the only UK site, the amendment will not be received through NRSPCC, but will only come directly from the Sponsor. The Research Administrator (RA) will upload amendment documentation to SReDA and then process the amendment as normal.

For NHSGGC sponsored studies and trials (CTIMP and medical device) review of an amendment by R&I will only occur following Sponsor/Co-Sponsor approval and IRAS signoff.

3.3. Category A or B Amendment Review by R&I at NHSGGC

The following process applies to all NHSGGC research studies (Sponsored and/or Hosted), clinical trials and medical device trials. The applicable Portfolio team is responsible for the receipt, review and acknowledgment of all study amendments relating to projects taking place in NHSGGC in their portfolio.

SOP 52.003 version 6.0 Page 2 of 6

On receipt of an amendment, the RA registers the amendment on SReDA's Post Approval tab, under 'Record Licence Wide', within the associated Project by completing the required fields.

- The reference should be 'pending' or 'approved' depending on the state of the amendment
- The title should be in the format amendment number/date of amendment/category (e.g. SA04 10.11.2021 (Cat A)
- The Type and Date of the amendment can be found on the Amendment Tool, section 1 for the date and section 4 for the type.
- The Administrator can add notes to the 'Summary of Amendment' field in relation to activity taking place as part of the review (for example the amendment has been sent to Pharmacy for review on the following dates).

The RA creates a new amendment subfolder within the study email folder. The email subfolder name will be prefixed alphabetically or numerically in order of receipt to R&I. The RA also creates a sub-folder within the e-folder on the common drive and saves all available amendment documents. The RA will then send an email to the Sponsor, if appropriate, requesting that the amendment is not implemented until confirmation of management approval is granted.

The RA must review the amended documentation along with the Amendment Tool to determine whether there will be any impact on NHS resources for NHSGGC.

If no impact then the RA will:

- Await ethics and any other applicable approvals
- Aim to resolve any queries with the amendment
- When ethics approval received, check all documents are present according to the list in the ethics favourable opinion letter

Once any queries have been resolved and any missing documents collated, the RA will email the standard amendment email (Form 52.003A) of continuing management approval to the local PI. The Sponsor's representative and any other required individual will be copied into the email, e.g. Pharmacy, research nurse, study coordinators, finance. The email will state that Management Approval is still valid and can contain a snapshot of the approved document list from the REC approval.

If however there are any unresolved issues, queries or questions then the RA will ask the Research Co-ordinator or Facilitator (RC or RF) from the appropriate study portfolio team for guidance.

The entry for the amendment in SReDA will now be updated by completing the dates in the appropriate fields, updating any notes and changing the reference to 'Approved'. The approval email will be uploaded to SReDA.

3.4. Category A or B Amendment Review: Facilitator or Co-ordinator and Support Department Review/ Approval

For all substantial amendments that have financial implications, pharmacy or additional support department implications or contractual changes the RA will request the RC (commercial studies) or RF's (non-commercial studies) review by email. The RA will also request Pharmacy review if applicable, in accordance with Guideline 52.003A Pharmacy clinical trials – Amendment Approvals.

SOP 52.003 version 6.0 Page 3 of 6

Glasgow Clinical Trials Unit Standard Operating Procedure

The following should be considered when reviewing the amendment:

- Financial implications
- Pharmacy impact or
- Support department implications
- Contractual changes and impact on study budget

The following information requires RC/RF review and/ or subsequently support department approval.

- Implementation of amended Protocol
- Change of PI/Sponsor/legal representative/CRO
- Adding additional investigations/tests/scans
- Adding additional nurse/data management time
- Change of dosage
- Change in IMP:
 - Local Stock
 - o Formulation
 - Pack Size
 - Dispensing Frequency
 - o Fluid Volumes
 - Change in Storage
 - transportation/safety/IMP administration/
- Adding a new study arm/sub-study/new phase
- Early closure of a site/termination
- Study extension
- Change in treatment length
- Changes in supportive medication
- Increase to patient numbers
- Change of local PI

If the RF has confirmed that extra resources from pharmacy/pathology/data monitoring/imaging/statistical services etc. are required for implementation of the amendment for a **non-commercial** study, the RA will seek approval from pharmacy/Finance and any other Head(s) of department authorization approval as required. If the amendment provides new funding then the amended contract will be reviewed and negotiated by the RF and signed by an authorised signatory of NHSGGC.

When the amendment has any impact on NHS resources for a **commercial** study, negotiation between the RC and the Sponsor's representative occurs. An amended contract, or addendum to the contract, will be reviewed and negotiated by the RC and signed by an authorised signatory of NHSGGC. The RC will save the updated budget and contract in the appropriate folders and will make sure the internal redistribution sheet is updated with the new costs and sent to R&I finance.

Once the amendment has received favourable opinion from the REC (if applicable), authorisation from the MHRA (if applicable), and review from R&I, the RA will confirm continuing management approval for the amendment by email to the local PI (Form 52.003A). The Sponsor's representative

SOP 52.003 version 6.0 Page 4 of 6

Glasgow Clinical Trials Unit Standard Operating Procedure

and any other required individual should be copied into the email, e.g. pharmacy, research nurse, study coordinators, finance. If the study is run through the Clinical Research Facility the email should also be sent to their generic amendment email address. The email will state that Management Approval is still valid and can contain a snapshot of the approved document list from the REC approval.

The entry for the amendment in SReDA should now be updated by completing the dates in the appropriate fields, updating any notes and changing the reference to 'Approved'. The approval email should be uploaded to SReDA.

3.5. Category C Amendment Review by NHSGGC R&I

Category C amendments will be received in R&I in the same way as Category A and B amendments, but are for information only and require minimal or no review.

The Research Administrators will log the amendment in SReDA, make folders and save the documents as normal. If it involves any changes to the study end dates, then SReDA should be updated. If there are changes to any of the IMP documentation then it should be sent to pharmacy for information.

No acknowledgment of the amendment to the Sponsor is required.

SOP 52.003 version 6.0 Page 5 of 6

4. Referenced documents

- Form 52.003A Amendment Approval Email Category A or B amendment
- Form 52.003B Amendment Acknowledgement Email
- SOP 51.021 Sponsor Review of Amendments
- Guideline 52.003A Pharmacy clinical trials Amendment Approvals
- Guideline 52.003B Flow Chart for processing Amendments

5. Related documents

• IRAS guidance: https://www.myresearchproject.org.uk/help/hlpamendments.aspx

• MHRA guidance: http://www.mhra.gov.uk

6. Document history

| Version | Date | Description | Retrospective Implementation |
|---------|------------|---|---------------------------------|
| 1.0 | 06/09/2012 | Release of version 1.0 (Process for Sponsor Approval of Amendments and Review by R&D) | No |
| 2.0 | 10/12/2013 | Removal of sponsor process and inclusion of recording of amendments on SreDA. | No |
| 3.0 | 14/07/2016 | Updated to template v1.4 Inclusion of information about categorization of Amendments and UK Process. Supporting documents: • Pharmacy Review Checklist (appendix 4) • Research & Development Q&A (appendix 5) • Pharmacy clinical Trials/ Amendment approval • Flow Chart for processing amendments (saved separately as Supporting Documents) | No |
| 4.0 | 18/03/2020 | Author temporarily changed. Temp. change to "Approved by" "Released by" changed. References to R&D Database staff removed from document. Role of Research Facilitator clarified. Minor typographical changes made to process. Version updated. | No |
| 5.0 | 25/08/2022 | Updated due to national changes imposed in March 2021. Changed from PR review to portfolio team review of all amendments. References to R&D changed to R&I throughout. Updated forms associated with this SOP | No |
| 6.0 | 02/12/2025 | General updates | No |

This SOP is a controlled document. The current version can be viewed on the R&I website, GCTU website and R&I's Q-Pulse account.

Any copy reproduced from the website may not, at time of reading, be the current version.

SOP 52.003 version 6.0 Page 6 of 6